(b) the number allowed;
(c) the number answered;
(ii) (a) the number of resolutions sent in;
(b) the number allowed;
(c) the number put to ballot;
(d) the number discussed in the Council;
(e) the number carried by the Council and
(f) the number accepted by the Government during the life-time of the Council?

Mr. T. K. Krishna Menon.—(1) Rs. 25,500.
(2) Rs. 84,074-1-1.
(3) (i) (a) 997 till 1st March 1929.
(b) 906.
(c) 552 till 22nd February 1929
(ii) (a) 156.
(b) 144.
(c) 135.*

*Note.—Nine resolutions were received late and so they were put in last after the balloted ones.

(d) 34.
(e) 21.
(f) Two of them were accepted with modification, four were rejected while the others are under consideration.

List of Resolutions.

602. Mr. K. T. Mathew.—Will the Government be pleased to lay on the Table a printed list of resolutions (a) passed by the Legislative Council, but not accepted by the Government (b) accepted by the Government but not translated into action so far, giving in such cases (1) the dates of passing the resolutions, (2) the dates on which they were accepted by the Government, (3) the reasons, if any, for not so far giving effect to the resolutions and (c) that on which no orders have yet been passed by the Government, giving the reason for the delay to pass orders?

Mr. U. Kandar Menon.—Vide list A,B and C appended. (*Appendix VIII.*) The lists contain resolutions passed by the Council till the last Kumbham meeting.

603. Mr. Thomas Manjuran.—Will the Government be pleased to place on the Table of the House a full list of the resolutions of the First Council (1) that have not yet been acted upon (2) that have been ignored or passed over?

Mr. U. Kandar Menon.—Vide answer to question No. 602.

Timber transported by the Tramway.

604. Mr. C. J. Mathew.—Will the Government be pleased to answer:—

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

(a) what is the maximum quantity of timber that could be transported by the Tramway during the course of a year;

(b) what was the actual quantity of timber that was brought down by the Tramway both by private and Sirkar agencies during the last three years (1101, 1102 and 1103) respectively;

(c) if the maximum possible quantity be in excess of the quantities actually transported during each of the last three years, what was the reason for not conveying the maximum quantity each year;

(d) what were the different species of timber (including teak, rose-wood and ebony) transported during the years 1101, 1102 and 1103 respectively and how much for each species during each year;

(e) what is the total quantity of timber (under each different species) transported by Sirkar agency from Parambikulam to Chalakkudi during the years 1101, 1102 and 1103 respectively;

(f) what is the seigniorage rate of each of the species transported;

(g) what is the total amount of revenue derived by the disposal of timber transported by Tramway during the last 3 years respectively;

(h) what is the revenue from the Tramway Department under different heads during the years 1101, 1102 and 1103 respectively?

Mr. K. Govinda Menon.—(a) Under the existing condition 14,000 candies.

(b)

	Government.	*Private.*
	cds.	cds.
1101	9,544	267
1102	13,286	1,292
1103	7,782	3,340

(c) Logs were not of uniform length and girth.

(d) and (e).

	1101	*1102*	*1103*
	cds.	cds.	cds.
Teak	1,464	7,142	5,072
Rose-wood	1,669	411	2,274
Ebony	74	100	44
Jungle-wood	6,341	5,980	1,614

The figures under private transport are not ready.

(f) There is no sanctioned seigniorage rate for teak, rose-wood and ebony. For jungle-wood species, vide Article 30, *Cochin Forest Code.*

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

(g)	1101	Rs. 2,29,669.	
	1102	Rs. 3,06,847.	
	1103	Rs. 1,69,577.	
(h)	1101	Rs. 11,023.	In round figures.
	1102	Rs. 30,167.	
	1103	Rs. 42,977.	

These figures do not include the transport charges on Government timber.

Irrigation project in Inchakundu.

605. Mr. K. P. Kannan Nayar.—Will the Government be pleased to inform this Council:—

(a) whether the Government have completed their investigations of the Inchakundu Irrigation Project referred to in the speech of the Superintendent of Agriculture and Panchayats in moving for grants for his Department during the Budget Session of 1101 (vide pages 1667 and 1668 of Vol. III of the Cochin Legislative Council Proceedings);

(b) if the answer to (a) is in the affirmative, the results of the investigation;

(c) if the answer is in the negative, at what stage the investigation is at present; and the reasons, if any, for the delay in completing the investigation;

(d) whether the Government are aware that want of proper irrigation facilities in the locality in question is causing great hardship to the paddy cultivators of the place?

Mr. V. K. Aravindaksha Menon.—(a) No.

(b) Vide answer to sub-division (a).

(c) The survey work for finding the capacity of the Manapat chira and for raising the bund is over. Spot levels of the probable ayacut have also been taken. Levels are being connected with Inchamudi vally.

The scheme is being investigated in detail by the Special Assistant Engineer for Irrigation. There are no special reasons for the delay.

(d) Yes.

Sale of teak toplings.

606. Mr. Ouseph P. Kundukulam.—(a) Will the Government be pleased to state whether any teak toplings were sold to Messrs. T. Namberumal Chetti and Sons;

(b) what is the measurement of the toplings so sold;

(c) the rate charged for this;

(d) were the toplings sold under the above contract remeasured by the Conservator of Forests;

(e) was there any difference in the measurement made by the Conservator of Forests; and the measurements recorded by the Comptroller of Accounts;

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly